

Page	Question	Response																				
9	Why is the Council continuing with the redevelopment of the Vaultex site whilst a JR is outstanding?	A planning consent has been granted for the Vaultex development. This has been challenged but not overturned.																				
18	We once more reference the Housing Company but still do not have any updates planned?	A report on the Housing Company options is planned for the September Business and Housing Policy Committee																				
19	Are we planning to support the Nightshelter with their additional beds? If so what are we prepared to do?	Verbal response to be given at the Performance Panel meeting on Monday afternoon																				
20	How many from outside of Winchester itself accessed the Business Support Services on our High Street (detailed on page 20)?	<p>Business Support Service was delivered by Digital Islands. They supported 169 businesses and the split was 36.7% in the city and 62.4% from the wider district: Detailed breakdown</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Location</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Alresford</td> <td>9.5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bishops Waltham</td> <td>18.3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>City</td> <td>36.7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Denmead (&amp;Waterlooville)</td> <td>9.5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other</td> <td>5.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rural</td> <td>3.6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Whiteley</td> <td>1.2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wickham</td> <td>15.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>100.0%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Location	Percentage	Alresford	9.5%	Bishops Waltham	18.3%	City	36.7%	Denmead (&Waterlooville)	9.5%	Other	5.9%	Rural	3.6%	Whiteley	1.2%	Wickham	15.4%	Total	100.0%
Location	Percentage																					
Alresford	9.5%																					
Bishops Waltham	18.3%																					
City	36.7%																					
Denmead (&Waterlooville)	9.5%																					
Other	5.9%																					
Rural	3.6%																					
Whiteley	1.2%																					
Wickham	15.4%																					
Total	100.0%																					
	The Green Economic Strategy? Can we please refer this to scrutiny for a full review?	Yes. The next available Scrutiny Committee would be 24th November 2021																				

Page	Question	Response
23	<p>Please advise who are the stakeholders involved in the High Streets Priorities Plan (page 23)?</p> <p>Are members involved representing the whole district?</p> <p>What is the WCC position re the use of retail space for accommodation?</p>	<p>Meetings for the High Street Plan include Winchester BID and parish councillors, ward councillors and representatives from the local business chambers or trades of business from Alresford, Bishops Waltham, Wickham and Whiteley. Denmead was also included but due to the size of their high street they did not fall into the same group as the others, but we will continue to work with them and support them where possible.</p> <p>The Government has extended permitted development rights nationally to allow change of use of shops to residential subject to certain conditions. It is possible for the council to make an article 4 direction to remove these rights but it is clear that Government would only expect us to consider this if we had clear evidence that the new rights they have brought in are causing a problem. We are going to look at this option and discussions are being planned with stakeholders like the Chamber of Commerce.</p> <p>It should be noted that many of town and village centres, including Winchester, are also conservation areas. Under the new regulations, which require the submission of a prior approval application for a change of use, we are able to consider the impact of the loss of the ground floor Class E use on the character or sustainability of that conservation area. We will need to think about how this works in practice and consider its implications in relation to whether an Article 4 is justified.</p>
27	<p>Please can we be provided with an updated organisation structure to confirm the officers in key public facing departments given your comments on page 27 re staff changes?</p>	<p>Update to be given at the Performance Panel meeting on Monday afternoon</p>
28	<p>What is the forecast for the bus subsidies for the full year (page 28)?</p>	<p>Verbal response to be given at the Performance Panel meeting on Monday afternoon</p>
33	<p>What has not happened to allow us to reduce the HRA capital programme? (page 33)</p>	<p>Verbal response to be given at the Performance Panel meeting on Monday afternoon</p>

Page	Question	Response
35	Page 35 TCE02 what was the status of waste collections in Q1? Have they improved or reduced recently?	<p>Waste collections in Q1, whilst not fully validated with county council data appear to be on par with the collections and recycling percentage from Q 1 last year. Once data is available with contamination figures we will be able to update more fully.</p> <p>The garden waste tonnage collected in June was the highest ever amount by over 200 tonnes, which reflects a positive introduction of the new system which has now sold and delivered over 21500 bins.</p> <p>In addition we received data showing that in the first three months (from Feb) of the operation of the new contract we collected over 3 tonnes of batteries, and over 10 tonnes of electrical equipment, which again demonstrates excellent progress with the new system.</p>
43	Bar End Depot report page 43 are we able to meet the September deadline?	Verbal response to be given at the Performance Panel meeting on Monday afternoon
47	Why are we so behind on such a positive action (page 47) to use electric refuse freighters?	<p>Electric vehicles – there are now two new fully electric vehicles being used by biffa to deliver the waste service across Winchester.</p> <p>These are used by the supervisors as they are out and about around Winchester, have 100% electric branding and can be charged by the solar panels on the roof of the depot.</p> <p>We are reviewing options for 100% electric refuse trucks, but are waiting on government proposals which have been delayed of the future of waste, as this is likely to have a significant impact on funding and our ability to afford new vehicles.</p>
56-59	<p>CWR (pages 56, 57,58 &amp; 59) – Please can you update us on the establishment of a cross party group for this project?</p> <p>The actual activities in hand re archaeology not currently detailed for Q1 and what was the pre 21/22</p>	<p>1 Reference Group; The draft Terms of Reference are in final form and will be considered by Cabinet on 31st August at LB. Once approved, the suggested attendees will be contacted and the first meeting of the group will be arranged once the Membership has been confirmed.</p> <p>2 Archaeology; The work currently being carried out, as recommended by the Archaeology Panel, is</p>

Page	Question	Response
	JLL spend on this project?	<p>the weekly collection of the water data from the bore holes site wide. Analysis of the material collected from the bore hole samples collected when the bore holes were sunk has been carried out and there will be a date set in diaries (dependant on the panels availability) in late September/early October for reconvening the panel to discuss the findings to date. There will be the opportunity stakeholders and members of the public to participate.</p> <p>3 JLL spend pre 21/22; As tabled in the Cabinet report in July, CAB3303, JLL/Arup spend/committed to end of March 2021 was £535,128. Work carried out includes, but is not exclusive to, the CWR Roadmap Review, Competitive Positioning study, viability testing proposals for the site, assessing delivery models, advice and planning with regard to bus provision, ongoing work on viability, financial analysis, planning and soft market testing to support the CWR development proposals and preparation of the Strategic Outline Case.</p>
69	When will the development sites be published for the local plan (page 69)?	<p>The next key stage in our plan making process, as set out in the recently up-dated Local Development Scheme, will be publication of the draft Local Plan (Regulation 18) for public consultation in August next year. This will include the allocation of sites for development.</p> <p>Part of the evidence base for the new local plan is the Strategic Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (SHELAA) which provides an opportunity for land owners and agents to tell us about sites which are potentially available for development for a range of uses including housing and employment. The SHELAA does not allocate sites but is important information because it will help us produce the draft Regulation 18 Plan for 2022 which will identify land for development. In order to do this successfully we need to understand the location and size of sites which are available for development and the SHELAA does this.</p> <p>In conjunction with the consultation on the Strategic Issues and Priorities document, which took place earlier this year, the council issued a new call for sites. An up-dated SHELAA is nearing completion and will be considered by the Local Plan Advisory Group next month before going to Cabinet in October. This will be used to inform discussions</p>

Page	Question	Response
		with stakeholders on the drafting of the Regulation 18 plan including which sites we consider allocating for development
74	Why does the report on the Movement Strategy not indicate the decision in Q1 to not progress with the proposed bus hub detailed in the SPD for CWR?	Verbal response to be given at the Performance Panel meeting on Monday afternoon
75	Park & Ride details (page 75) do not correspond with the recent briefing. Please advise?	Verbal response to be given at the Performance Panel meeting on Monday afternoon
81	Please can we update the last measure on page 81 for bags and bins?	An update will be given at the Performance Panel meeting on Monday afternoon